

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	ON NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTO		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/632,231	07/31/2003	Donna M. Walker	DWHP101USA	2534	
. 75	90 09/27/2005	EXAM	EXAMINER		
Eric M. Highn		IP, SII	IP, SIKYIN		
	, FAGAN, MINNICH & Avenue, Seventh Floor	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Cleveland, OH 44114			1742		
			D. WELLS A. H. DD. 00/05/0005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No	Applicant(s)	V			
Office Action Summary		10/632,2		WALKER, DONNA M.				
	omeo, ienen eumma,	Examine	: r	Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this commu	Sikyin Ip	e cover sheet with the o	1742 correspondence address	S			
Period fo								
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provision: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) period for reply is specified above, the maximum s re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenunication. 30) days, a reply within the statatutory period will apply and vortice the apyly will, by statute, cause the apyly will, by statute, cause the apyly will, by statute, cause the apyly and will, by statute, cause the apyly and the apyly and the apyly apply and the apyly apply appl	vent, however, may a reply be tin tutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communi D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>23 May 2005</u> .						
2a)□								
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the pract	ice under <i>Ex parte Q</i>	uayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
4)🖾	Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the	application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 31 and 32	is/are withdrawn from	n consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30,33 and 34</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or election i	requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any object	ection to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction is requi	red if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	l21(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examiner. N	ote the attached Office	Action or form PTO-15	52.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		·					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been	en received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been	en received in Applicati	on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies			ed in this National Stage	е			
	application from the Internation	•	• • • •					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of the cert	iried copies not receive	a.				
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (In pation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate atent Application (PTO-152)				
Pape	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/31/3;2/2/4;9/3/4</u> . 2/18/0	5	6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-30, 33, and 34, in the reply filed on May 23, 2005 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-30, 33, and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The wording "type" in claims 1, 2, 11, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 22, 24, 29, and 30 is indefinite. When the expression "type" appended to an otherwise definite term, may render said term indefinite. Ex parte Copenhaver, 109 USPQ 118.

Claim 34 is indefinite because the meaning of "a time less than the time required to cool the part to room temperature" is unclear.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Application/Control Number: 10/632,231

Art Unit: 1742

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-30 and 33-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over USP 4001053 to Igisu (PTO-1449).

Igisu in col. 1, lines 5-54 discloses residual stress in metal or ceramic could be removed by known vibration method, heating method, and in addition seasoning method. In col. 10, examples 1-6, Igisu discloses residual stress is reduced by vibration under the condition of normal temperature. In col. 13, Examples 13-14 of Igisu have shown that steel materials are induction hardened (heated and quenched), then vibration treated in order to reduce residual stress. Since the instant claims do not disclose any material, temperature, energy unit, or any measurable parameters for the process steps, which are considered read on the conditions as set forth in Igisu or conventional. Therefore, as is stated in In re Peterson, 315 F.3d 1325, 1329-30, 65 USPQ2d 1379, 1382 (Fed. Cir. 2003), that "A prima facie case of obviousness typically exists when the ranges of a claimed composition overlap the ranges disclosed in the prior art". Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select any portion of range, including the claimed range, from the broader range disclosed in a prior art reference because the prior art reference finds that the prior art

Art Unit: 1742

composition in the entire disclosed range has a suitable utility. Also see MPEP § 2131.03 and § 2123.

With respect to the instant recited Larson-Miller parameter that the instant claims do not disclose any material, temperature, energy unit, or any measurable parameters for the process steps, which are considered read on the conditions as set forth in Igisu or conventional.

Conclusion

The above rejection relies on the reference(s) for all the teachings expressed in the text(s) of the references and/or one of ordinary skill in the metallurgical art would have reasonably understood or implied from the text(s) of the reference(s). To emphasize certain aspect(s) of the prior art, only specific portion(s) of the text(s) have been pointed out. Each reference as a whole should be reviewed in responding to the rejection, since other sections of the same reference and/or various combination of the cited references may be relied on in future rejection(s) in view of amendment(s).

All recited limitations in the instant claims have been meet by the rejections as set forth above.

Applicant is reminded that when amendment and/or revision is required, applicant should therefore specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See 37 C.F.R. § 1.121 and 37 C.F.R. Part §41.37 (c)(1)(v).

Examiner Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to S. Ip whose telephone number is (571) 272-1241. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 5:30 A.M. to 2:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Roy V. King, can be reached on (571)-272-1244.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/632,231 Page 5

Art Unit: 1742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SIKYIN IP PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1742

S. lp August 8, 2005